



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,626 0		06/22/2001	Michael L. Howard	2291.2.2	7409
21552	7590	01/17/2003			
MADSON & METCALF				EXAMINER	
GATEWAY TOWER WEST SUITE 900				HOLLOWAY III, EDWIN C	
15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2635	
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A. . 1 Patent Under Reexamination Control No. Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary HOWARD ET AL. 09/887,626 Art Unit Examiner Edwin C. Holloway, III 2635 All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative): (1) Edwin C. Holloway, III (4) \_\_\_\_ (2) Wesely Austin (applicant's representative) Date of Interview: 14 January 2003 Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) patent owner 2) patent owner's representative) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: Agreement with respect to the claims f)  $\square$  was reached. g)  $\square$  was not reached. h)  $\square$  N/A. Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..." Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Hildebrand (US 5640153). Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

Examiner's signature, if required

EDWIN C. HOLLOWAY III
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that manual input of verification code saves costs to the supplier by making it more difficult for the user to receive discounts and discussed adding manual input of verification code and including history data different from the verification code. The examiner agreed that such additions do not appear to be taught by Hildebrand but changing between automatic and manual input is generally considered to be obvious. The examiner suggested applicant include details of how the code is generated and how it is manually input and to point out support in the specification for the cost savings. Applicant's amendments will be considered when formally submitted.